The Occipital Expansion Theory

Cross-Modal Perception and Social Cohesion in *Homo neanderthalensis*

C.R.R. Miller

The Last Echo Project · thelastechoproject.com

Focus: Speculative Anthropology

Abstract

The enlarged occipital lobes of *Homo neanderthalensis* are conventionally explained as an adaptation for vision in low light. This paper proposes a second function. The Neanderthal occipital expansion, together with a conserved FOXP2 gene and an average cranial capacity near 1,500 cc, may have supported a species-wide sense for bioelectric fields: the faint electrical signals every living body emits, and that certain materials emit more weakly. The paper calls these fields the Life Song and the ability to sense it Listening. The evidence is drawn from comparative neuroanatomy, recent computational reconstructions of Neanderthal endocasts, and documented electrical-field detection in fish and birds. The central claim is that the enlarged parieto-occipital cortex functioned as a biological receiver, converting these fields into direct sensation in the forms of texture, taste, temperature, pressure, and the perceived state of another organism. Read this way, Neanderthal extinction reflects not a cognitive deficit but the loss of a perceptual channel that left no fossil record.

Keywords: *Homo neanderthalensis, occipital lobe, bioelectric fields, cross-modal perception, synesthesia, FOXP2, social cohesion, Life Song, paleoneurobiology*

1. Introduction

The Neanderthal brain was larger than the modern human brain, and the field has never fully explained why that larger brain produced no lasting advantage. Endocranial volumes averaged roughly 1,500 cubic centimeters and reached as high as 1,740, against a modern *Homo sapiens* mean near 1,350 (Holloway et al., 2004). The standard account resolves the discrepancy by assigning the extra volume to two enlarged systems, an occipital region for vision and a larger somatic cortex to control a heavier body, leaving less tissue for the higher reasoning at which modern humans excelled (Pearce et al., 2013; Kochiyama et al., 2018).

This paper proposes a different reading of that tissue. The occipital expansion is well documented. Computational reconstructions confirm Neanderthal occipital lobes were substantially larger than those of both early and modern humans (Kochiyama et al., 2018; Bruner & Holloway, 2010). Its primary purpose, however, may not have been vision. The proposal here is that the region housed the apparatus for detecting bioelectric fields—the weak electrical signals generated by the nerve and cardiac activity of every living organism. That living bodies produce such fields is not in dispute. It is the principle behind electroencephalography and electrocardiography. My claim is narrower and more specific. The Neanderthal parieto-occipital cortex evolved the sensitivity to detect these fields at a distance and integrate them with the other senses, producing a continuous awareness of the surrounding living world. This paper terms that awareness the Life Song. Several terms used here, such as the Life Song, Listener, the Melded, and the Lost, are drawn from the author's fiction and serve as convenient labels for the proposed phenomena. Their fuller treatment belongs to the novels.

2. The Anatomical Evidence

The decisive anatomical fact is not the size of the occipital lobe but its reach. The Neanderthal skull is long front to back and projects at the rear into the occipital bun, a feature noted since the species was first described (King, 1864). Brain casts consistently show occipital lobes larger than the modern human equivalent. Pearce, Stringer, and Dunbar (2013) established this by scaling from eye-socket dimensions, and direct measurement confirms it (Grimaud-Hervé, 1997; Balzeau et al., 2012). The occipital fragments from El Sidrón (Pereira-Pedro et al., 2018) show Neanderthal primary visual areas exceeding those of modern humans in surface area, with a calcarine sulcus cutting deeper into the lobe than in most modern brains.

The most complete reconstruction to date, combining CT scans of four Neanderthal skulls with MRI data from more than one thousand living people, confirmed the larger occipital volume and identified a smaller cerebellum alongside it (Kochiyama et al., 2018). The conventional explanation, greater visual processing for high-latitude low light (Pearce et al., 2013), may account for part of this. It does not account for the expansion reaching beyond the primary visual cortex into the parieto-occipital association areas. In the modern brain, those areas handle the binding of one sense to another rather than vision itself (Weiss et al., 2005; Rothen et al., 2010).

That detail is what the model rests on. The expansion is better read as an enlarged apparatus for detecting bioelectric fields, and the precedent for such a sense already exists in nature. Sharks and rays detect electrical fields as faint as five billionths of a volt per centimeter through specialized organs in the skin (Collin & Whitehead, 2004). Migratory birds carry magnetic-sensing pathways wired into the visual system (Mouritsen, 2018). The capacity is not confined to fish and birds. The platypus, a mammal, hunts with its eyes closed by detecting the electrical fields of prey through receptors in its bill (Pettigrew, 1999). No mammal, however, has been found with an organ of this kind sited in the brain rather than the periphery. The parieto-occipital cortex is precisely the region that binds the senses together in the modern brain (Weiss, Zilles, & Fink, 2005), and magnetic stimulation applied there can switch off cross-sensory perception in people who have it (Rothen et al., 2010; Esterman et al., 2006). An enlarged parieto-occipital region is therefore a plausible site for a new sensitivity. A brain tuned to register the electrical fields of nearby organisms and fold them into ordinary perception, rather than relying on a receptor organ in the skin.

3. FOXP2: The Same Gene in a Different Brain

The genetic objection to a Neanderthal sensory difference is weaker than it first appears, because the gene most often cited was shared. FOXP2, on chromosome 7, builds the circuits that coordinate fine sequenced movement, including speech. Neanderthals carried the same FOXP2 protein as modern humans at the two positions that separate humans from chimpanzees, and those changes were already present in the common ancestor 300,000 to 400,000 years ago (Krause et al., 2007). One regulatory difference has been identified, a control site that may have set Neanderthal FOXP2 to a different expression level (Benítez-Burraco et al., 2022). In living populations, elevated FOXP2 expression delays language rather than removing it, which is consistent with communication through channels that were not solely verbal.

FOXP2 was never a “language gene” in the narrow sense the early coverage implied. It is a regulatory gene shaping circuits for coordinated movement and sensing across the basal ganglia, the cerebellum, and the association cortex (Vernes et al., 2011). A survey of human and archaic genomes found no evidence

of recent human-specific selection on it, indicating its function was fixed before the lineages diverged (Atkinson et al., 2018). The gene, then, was effectively identical in both species. What differed was the brain it operated in. In modern humans, FOXP2 worked within a brain oriented toward cerebellar expansion and frontal-lobe growth (Huttner et al., 2022). In Neanderthals, the same gene worked within a brain built around occipital and parieto-occipital expansion.

The functional consequence is the point. In the Neanderthal brain, the circuits FOXP2 helped construct were likely tuned not for recursive grammar but for integrating bioelectric input with the other senses. The occipital lobe supplied the receiver. FOXP2 supplied the wiring that bound the incoming signal to the centers governing touch, space, and emotion and sustained it across the lifespan. Measured against verbal grammar, this registers as a deficit. Measured against sensory range, it registers as an enhancement. A brain that took in the electrical output of every nearby organism as continuous sensation. Perhaps a companion's mood felt as warmth, a predator's position felt as pressure, a child's condition felt as a texture at the back of the skull.

4. The Mechanism

The model holds that the enlarged parieto-occipital region did not simply bind the existing senses more tightly, it opened a new one. The supporting parallel is modern synesthesia. In roughly two to four per cent of people, one sense crosses into another. A sound triggers a taste, a numeral carries a color (Simner et al., 2006). Imaging links this to additional connectivity between regions, particularly along the tract joining the visual and auditory association areas (Zamm et al., 2013; Dovern et al., 2012). The parieto-occipital cortex is the hub where the binding occurs, and the condition is now understood as ordinary perception intensified rather than as a malfunction (Hubbard, 2007).

In Neanderthals, the same hub may have carried a further input: the bioelectric fields of living things, processed through the channel that in modern synesthetes ties color to numbers, for example. The raw signal would not have presented as electrical data. It would have presented as sensation. The heartbeat of a nearby animal felt as a low pulse, the alarm of a frightened companion felt as a cold prickle at the back of the skull, a moving herd felt as warmth crossing the landscape.

The sense would have varied across the population on a gradient. Most individuals would have carried it at a low background level, reading the ambient field as a faint constant awareness. A smaller number—termed the Song Chosen in my fiction—would have carried it strongly, and a rarer few could project their own field with enough intensity for other Listeners to register it as a deliberate signal. The distribution was not strictly heritable. Like other rare aptitudes (genius is an analogue) it appeared across unrelated lineages, running somewhat in families without being guaranteed by them.

One distinction carries much of the model, and it is worth stating plainly. Emission and reception are separate functions. Every living organism emits a bioelectric field, modern humans included. This is biology, not conjecture, and every heartbeat and neural discharge produces a measurable signal. Detecting those fields at a distance, however, requires the specialized apparatus described above. Modern humans emit a field as readable as any other but have no means of receiving one. A Neanderthal could read a modern human. The modern human registered nothing in return. The difference is one of hardware, not intelligence. Modern human brains process the world differently, not less.

The sense would have extended past living tissue. Crystalline materials such as quartz, and the calcium-carbonate rock that forms a limestone cave, produce a small electrical charge under mechanical stress, the piezoelectric effect, which is well established. Moving water generates an electrical potential, and an approaching storm charges the air. A sense tuned to the fields of living tissue would plausibly have registered these as well. The *felt* character of a cave, the charge of a coming storm, the faint signal of limestone under strain. The resulting perceptual world would have been far denser with information than the modern one, every organism and every rock face carrying a readable signal.

None of this constituted language or symbol. It was direct perception. The state of everyone nearby available to anyone equipped to feel it. Consistent with the anatomy, the sensation would have centered at the back of the head, as a *felt* awareness behind the eyes, sharpening to pressure, warmth, or pain under load.

The model also reframes the Neanderthal record on cave walls. Dating of cave art in Spain has established that abstract marks, including hand stencils, dot clusters, ochre lines, and finger-tracings in soft rock, were produced by Neanderthals across tens of thousands of years at Ardales, Maltravieso, and La Pasiega (Hoffmann et al., 2018; Marquet et al., 2023). The record is neither thin nor primitive. It is a sustained tradition of return across millennia. What it is not is figurative. There are no animals, no bodies, no likenesses. The painted herds of Chauvet and Lascaux are the work of modern humans alone.

That divide follows directly from the difference in perception. A Neanderthal who could already feel the living state of an animal, its position, its stress, the beat of its heart, its readiness to flee or charge, had little reason to depict its body. What warranted recording was not how the animal looked but how its field registered. The fact that it was present, how many there were, which way they moved. Dots for number, lines for a path, a handprint for identity. Abstract marks record a felt experience, while figurative art records a seen one. Two modes of perception produce two distinct kinds of record.

5. Social Implications

If the sense was real, small group size becomes a design feature rather than a limitation. Dunbar's social brain hypothesis ties brain size to group size and predicts that Neanderthals maintained smaller, tighter groups than modern humans (Dunbar, 1998). Pearce, Stringer, and Dunbar (2013) read this as a constraint. More brain devoted to vision and body control would mean less for managing large groups. The proposed model inverts that reading. A perceptual field that takes in everyone at once works best in a group small enough to be encompassed, because each person's field overlaps with the rest. Beyond a threshold the input would overwhelm, capping group size on its own without recourse to any social-cognitive shortfall.

Within a small band, the sense would have enabled coordination that language cannot match. Close-range ambush hunting, the high-risk method recorded in Neanderthal skeletal trauma (Berger & Trinkaus, 1995), demands silent split-second teamwork in conditions where a spoken command would be ruinous. A group in which each hunter feels the others—readiness, fear, position—moves as a unit in a way verbal signals cannot reproduce. Group decision-making would follow similarly. Neanderthal social structure appears to have lacked rigid hierarchy, judging by the even distribution of physical-stress markers across skeletons, and such a group may have reached consensus less through argument than through convergence, through individual fields settling into alignment, registered by all as a shift from friction to accord.

Death is where the model reaches furthest, and where it connects to the archaeological record most directly. Some Neanderthal burials show deliberate body placement and occasional grave goods (Rendu et al., 2014). At the moment of death, the individual's bioelectric field may have surged, the brain's entire electrical state discharging at once in a single release. Where that discharge passed into a material able to hold it, it could be preserved. Where it did not, it dissipated into the air. Retention depended on the material. The crystalline structure of stone, and of the calcium-carbonate that lines a limestone cave, can take and hold a piezoelectric charge, porous and organic material cannot. A death in contact with cave stone might be captured. A death in the open scattered the charge and was lost. This division is distinguished in my fiction by those who are Melded into the rock and those who are forever Lost. A Melding, the held charge persisting in the stone as a presence later Listeners could feel, would have had no modern analog. The practice of interring the dead deep within caves then reads less as a belief about an afterlife than as a response to something directly perceived. To anyone with the sense, the dead were *felt* in the stone. That the marks cluster where the rock resonates most strongly, such as on flowstone and formations that ring when struck, indicates the same perception guided their placement.

6. Attenuation and the Modern Trace

If the sense was species-wide and tied to a specific brain architecture, interbreeding would have both transmitted and diluted it. Modern non-African populations carry one to four per cent Neanderthal DNA (Green et al., 2010). The relevant alleles would have entered the modern human line and thinned simultaneously. First-generation hybrids of Neanderthals and modern humans, belonging to both parent species rather than neither, may have retained partial sensitivity, or possibly developed perceptual capacities distinct from either parent. Backcrossing into modern human populations would then have attenuated the trait along a gradient, the strongest perceivers dying without replacement, weaker forms persisting, the signal fading as the supporting architecture ceased to be built.

This points to a testable origin for modern synesthesia. Its prevalence today, the same two to four per cent, aligns with the proportion of Neanderthal DNA in non-African genomes. The alignment is suggestive rather than conclusive. But the hypothesis that modern synesthesia is a residue of a once-universal sense can be tested directly. A genome-wide association study comparing synesthetic and non-synesthetic individuals could establish whether Neanderthal-derived variants are concentrated in the genes that build the parieto-occipital region. Existing evidence that synesthesia involves added connectivity along that same visual-auditory tract (Zamm et al., 2013) is consistent with residual Neanderthal architecture surfacing as cross-sensory perception in an otherwise modern brain.

7. Limitations and Tests

The model is speculative, and its limits should be stated directly. It is built by inference from brain casts, genetics, and animal comparison, not from any measurement of living Neanderthal tissue. The governing constraint of the field is that soft tissue does not fossilize. Neanderthal nerve tracts, synaptic density, and neural activity cannot be observed. Further, mammalian electroreception is documented only in the monotremes, where it operates through a peripheral bill organ at close range in water, and the model requires sensitivity at a range and strength beyond any documented mammalian case. It is therefore a framework for generating testable questions, not a demonstration of established fact.

Each component, taken separately, rests on established science. The electrical fields of living bodies are measurable and are the basis of EEG and ECG. The piezoelectric charge of calcium-carbonate rock is documented. Electrical and magnetic sensing exist across several animal lineages, including one mammalian lineage in the monotremes, confirming that selection can build sensitivity to such fields (Collin & Whitehead, 2004; Pettigrew, 1999; Mouritsen, 2018). The proposal is novel but not physically impossible. A detection system seated in the brain rather than in a peripheral organ, in a species whose brain was enlarged at the relevant site, feeding the signal in through the senses.

Several lines of test follow. Neanderthal-derived variants can be sought in synesthetic populations. The propagation of bioelectric fields can be modeled to establish whether the required detection range is plausible. Brain organoids can be grown from cells edited to carry Neanderthal versions of the relevant genes (Huttner et al., 2022). Neanderthal site use can be re-examined for spatial layouts that kept individuals within field range of one another. And the placement of cave marks can be mapped against the electrical and resonant properties of the rock, to establish whether placement tracks signal strength rather than visibility.

The model's value, finally, is that it offers an alternative to the deficit account that has long governed Neanderthal cognition. The enlarged occipital lobe need not represent a visual trade-off that cost the species its judgment. It may represent an expansion of perception, a species immersed in the electrical output of every organism and every stone around it, its social life organized around the direct perception of one another in a shared field. The marks left on cave walls, the hand stencils and dot clusters and finger-traced lines, may be the nearest available record of the subjective sensation of the field from the inside: notation of a world *felt* rather than depictions of a world seen.

References

Atkinson, E. G., Audesse, A. J., Palacios, J. A., Bobo, D. M., Webb, A. E., Ramachandran, S., & Henn, B. M. (2018). No evidence for recent selection at FOXP2 among diverse human populations. *Cell, 174*(6), 1424–1435.

Balzeau, A., Holloway, R. L., & Grimaud-Hervé, D. (2012). Variations and asymmetries in regional brain surface in the genus *Homo*. *Journal of Human Evolution, 62*(6), 696–706.

Benítez-Burraco, A., Ferretti, F., García-González, N., & Progovac, L. (2022). Human-specific changes in two functional enhancers of FOXP2. *Cellular and Molecular Biology, 68*(11), 16–19.

Berger, T. D., & Trinkaus, E. (1995). Patterns of trauma among the Neandertals. *Journal of Archaeological Science, 22*(6), 841–852.

Bruner, E., & Holloway, R. L. (2010). A bivariate approach to the widening of the frontal lobes in the genus *Homo*. *Journal of Human Evolution, 58*(2), 138–146.

Collin, S. P., & Whitehead, D. (2004). The functional and morphological diversity of electroreception in vertebrates. In G. von der Emde, J. Mogdans, & B. G. Kapoor (Eds.), *The senses of fish: Adaptations for the reception of natural stimuli* (pp. 29–58). Springer.

Dovern, A., Fink, G. R., Fromme, A. C. B., Wohlschläger, A. M., Weiss, P. H., & Riedl, V. (2012). Intrinsic network connectivity reflects consistency of synesthetic experiences. *Journal of Neuroscience, 32*(22), 7614–7621.

Dunbar, R. I. M. (1998). The social brain hypothesis. *Evolutionary Anthropology, 6*(5), 178–190.

Esterman, M., Verstynen, T., Ivry, R. B., & Robertson, L. C. (2006). Coming unbound: Disrupting automatic integration of synesthetic color and graphemes by transcranial magnetic stimulation of the right parietal lobe. *Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience, 18*(9), 1570–1576.

Green, R. E., Krause, J., Briggs, A. W., et al. (2010). A draft sequence of the Neandertal genome. *Science, 328*(5979), 710–722.

Grimaud-Hervé, D. (1997). *L'évolution de l'encéphale chez Homo erectus et Homo sapiens.* CNRS Éditions.

Hoffmann, D. L., Standish, C. D., García-Diez, M., Pettitt, P. B., Milton, J. A., Zilhão, J., ... & Pike, A. W. G. (2018). U-Th dating of carbonate crusts reveals Neandertal origin of Iberian cave art. *Science, 359*(6378), 912–915.

Holloway, R. L., Broadfield, D. C., & Yuan, M. S. (2004). *The human fossil record, Volume 3: Brain endocasts.* Wiley-Liss.

Hubbard, E. M. (2007). Neurophysiology of synesthesia. *Current Psychiatry Reports, 9*(3), 193–199.

Huttner, W. B., Mora-Bermúdez, F., Badsha, F., et al. (2022). Human TKTL1 implies greater neurogenesis in frontal neocortex of modern humans than Neanderthals. *Science, 377*(6611), 1170–1176.

King, W. (1864). The reputed fossil man of the Neanderthal. *Quarterly Journal of Science, 1,* 88–97.

Kochiyama, T., Ogihara, N., Tanabe, H. C., et al. (2018). Reconstructing the Neanderthal brain using computational anatomy. *Scientific Reports, 8,* 6296.

Krause, J., Lalueza-Fox, C., Orlando, L., et al. (2007). The derived FOXP2 variant of modern humans was shared with Neandertals. *Current Biology, 17*(21), 1908–1912.

Marquet, J.-C., Freiesleben, T. H., Thomsen, K. J., Murray, A. S., Calvo, A., et al. (2023). The earliest unambiguous Neanderthal engravings on cave walls: La Roche-Cotard, Loire Valley, France. *PLOS ONE, 18*(6), e0286568.

Mouritsen, H. (2018). Long-distance navigation and magnetoreception in migratory animals. *Nature, 558*(7708), 50–59.

Pearce, E., Stringer, C., & Dunbar, R. I. M. (2013). New insights into differences in brain organization between Neanderthals and anatomically modern humans. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B, 280*(1758), 20130168.

Pereira-Pedro, A. S., Rosas, A., & Bruner, E. (2018). Primary visual cortex in Neandertals as revealed from the occipital remains from the El Sidrón site. *Anatomical Record, 301*(1), 154–168.

Pettigrew, J. D. (1999). Electroreception in monotremes. *Journal of Experimental Biology, 202*(10), 1447–1454.

Rendu, W., Beauval, C., Crevecoeur, I., et al. (2014). Evidence supporting an intentional Neandertal burial at La Chapelle-aux-Saints. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 111*(1), 81–86.

Rothen, N., Nyffeler, T., von Wartburg, R., Müri, R., & Meier, B. (2010). Parieto-occipital suppression eliminates implicit bidirectionality in grapheme-colour synaesthesia. *Neuropsychologia, 48*(12), 3482–3487.

Simner, J., Mulvenna, C., Sagiv, N., et al. (2006). Synaesthesia: The prevalence of atypical cross-modal experiences. *Perception, 35*(8), 1024–1033.

Vernes, S. C., Oliver, P. L., Spiteri, E., et al. (2011). Foxp2 regulates gene networks implicated in neurite outgrowth in the developing brain. *PLoS Genetics, 7*(7), e1002145.

Weiss, P. H., Zilles, K., & Fink, G. R. (2005). When visual perception causes feeling: Enhanced cross-modal processing in grapheme-color synesthesia. *NeuroImage, 28*(4), 859–868.

Zamm, A., Schlaug, G., Eagleman, D. M., & Loui, P. (2013). Pathways to seeing music: Enhanced structural connectivity in colored-music synesthesia. *NeuroImage, 74,* 359–366.

Author's Note

This paper is part of The Last Echo Project, an epic historical fantasy trilogy set in the Late Pleistocene. The speculative framework presented here (the Life Song and Listening as neurological basis for Neanderthal bioelectric field perception and social cohesion) is the foundation of the trilogy's worldbuilding as well as inspiration for the title of the first book. The cited research is real. The interpretation is the author's own. Feedback from specialists and interested readers is welcomed at thelastechoproject.com.
